

TUTORIAL 7
STA437 WINTER 2015

AL NOSEDAL

CONTENTS

1. Test on Covariance Matrices	1
1.1. Testing $H_0 : \Sigma = \Sigma_0$	1
1.2. Testing Sphericity	4

1. TESTS ON COVARIANCE MATRICES

1.1. **Testing $H_0 : \Sigma = \Sigma_0$.** We begin with the basic hypothesis $H_0 : \Sigma = \Sigma_0$ versus $H_1 : \Sigma \neq \Sigma_0$. The hypothesized covariance matrix Σ_0 is a target value for Σ_0 or a nominal value from previous experience. To test H_0 , we obtain a random sample of n observation vectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n$ from $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ and calculate \mathbf{S} . To see if \mathbf{S} is significantly different from Σ_0 , we use the following test statistic, which is a modification of the likelihood ratio

$$u = \nu[\ln|\Sigma_0| - \ln|\mathbf{S}| + \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}\Sigma_0^{-1}) - p]$$

(in one of our lectures, we showed that $u = -2\ln(\lambda)$, where λ represents a likelihood ratio test)

where ν represents the degrees of freedom of \mathbf{S} . For a single sample, $\nu = n - 1$; for a pooled covariance matrix, $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - k = N - k$. Note that if $\mathbf{S} = \Sigma_0$, then $u = 0$; otherwise u increases with the "distance" between \mathbf{S} and Σ_0 . When ν is large, the statistic u is approximately distributed as $\chi^2[\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)]$ if H_0 is true. For moderate size ν ,

$$u' = \left[1 - \frac{1}{6\nu - 1} \left(2p + 1 - \frac{2}{p+1} \right) \right] u$$

is a better approximation to the $\chi^2[\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)]$ distribution. We reject H_0 if u or u' is greater than $\chi^2[\alpha, \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)]$. Note that the degrees of freedom for the χ^2 -statistic, $\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)$, is the number of distinct parameters in Σ .

We can express u in terms of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ of $\mathbf{S}\Sigma_0^{-1}$, then u can be written as

$$u = \nu \left[\sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda_i - \ln(\lambda_i) - p) \right].$$

Exercise 7.14. In Example 5.2.2, height and weight were given for a sample of 20 college-age males, we assumed that for the height and weight data of this example, the population covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 100 \\ 100 & 1000 \end{pmatrix},$$

the sample covariance matrix is

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 14.58 & 128.87 \\ 128.870 & 1441.27 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Test this as a hypothesis using u' (Use $\alpha = 0.01$).

Solution

To find u and u' we need: ν , p , $|\Sigma_0|$, $|\mathbf{S}|$, $tr(\mathbf{S}\Sigma_0^{-1})$. In this case $\nu = n - 1 = 20 - 1 = 19$, $p = 2$, $|\Sigma_0| = 10000$, $|\mathbf{S}| = 4406.24$, and $tr(\mathbf{S}\Sigma_0^{-1}) = 1.76314$. Which yield

$$u = 11.07$$

$$u' = 0.96166u = 10.64$$

Our critical value is given by

$$\chi^2[\alpha, \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)] = \chi^2[0.01, 3] = 11.345$$

We **can't** reject the hypothesized covariance matrix.

Example. Let us suppose that the reaction times in hundredths of a second after three preparatory intervals can be described by a trivariate Normal random variable. Reaction times have been measured under the three conditions on a random sample of $N = 20$ normal subjects. From those data we wish to test the hypothesis H_0 :

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

that has been suggested by the effects of the lengthening preparatory intervals on the variances and correlations, as well as by previous experimental results. The sample covariance is

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.42 & 2.60 & 1.89 \\ 2.60 & 8 & 6.51 \\ 1.89 & 6.51 & 9.62 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Use $\alpha = 0.05$).

Solution

To find u and u' we need: ν , p , $|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|$, $|\mathbf{S}|$, $tr(\mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1})$. In this case $\nu = n - 1 = 20 - 1 = 19$, $p = 3$, $|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0| = 86$, $|\mathbf{S}| = 88.6355$, and $tr(\mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1}) = 3.2216$. Which yield

$$u = 3.64$$

$$u' = 3.43$$

Our critical value is given by

$$\chi^2[\alpha, \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)] = \chi^2[0.05, 3] = 12.592$$

Since u' does not exceed our critical value, we conclude that the hypothesized covariance matrix is tenable.

R code

```
Sigma.0<-matrix(c(4,3,2,3,6,5,2,5,10),nrow=3,ncol=3)
Sigma.0
Sigma.0.inv<-solve(Sigma.0)
Sigma.0.inv
S<-matrix(c(3.42,2.60,1.89,2.60,8,6.51,1.89,6.51,9.62),nrow=3,ncol=3)
S
S%%Sigma.0.inv

trace<-sum(diag(S%%Sigma.0.inv))

trace
```

```
#####
## test statistic u
#####

# n = number of individuals

n<-20

# p = dimension of your data

p<-3

# nu

nu<-n-1

u<-nu*( log(det(Sigma.0)) - log(det(S)) + trace -p )

u

#####
### test statistic u'
#####

# k =constant

k<-1-(2*p+1 - (2/(p+1)))*(1/(6*nu-1))

u.star<-k*u

u.star
```

1.2. **Testing Sphericity.** The hypothesis that the variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p in \mathbf{y} are independent and have the same variance can be expressed as $H_0 : \Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ versus $H_1 : \Sigma \neq \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, where σ^2 is the unknown common variance. This hypothesis is of interest in repeated measures. Under H_0 , the ellipsoid $(\mathbf{y} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mu) = c^2$ reduces to $(\mathbf{y} - \mu)' (\mathbf{y} - \mu) = \sigma^2 c^2$, the equation of a sphere; hence the term **sphericity** is applied to the covariance structure $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$. Another sphericity hypothesis of interest in repeated measures is $H_0 : \mathbf{C} \Sigma \mathbf{C}' = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{C} is any full-rank $(p-1) \times p$ matrix of orthonormal contrasts. For a random sample $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n$ from $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, the likelihood ratio for testing $H_0 : \Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ is

$$LR = \left[\frac{|\mathbf{S}|}{(\text{tr}\mathbf{S}/p)^p} \right]^{n/2}$$

It has been shown that for a general likelihood ratio statistic LR ,

$$-2\ln(LR) \text{ is approximately } \chi_\nu^2$$

for large n , where ν is the total number of parameters minus the number of estimated under the restrictions imposed by H_0 . In this case, we obtain

$$-2\ln(LR) = -n \ln \left[\frac{|\mathbf{S}|}{(\text{tr}\mathbf{S}/p)^p} \right] = -n \ln u$$

where $u = \frac{p^p |\mathbf{S}|}{(\text{tr}\mathbf{S})^p}$. We can express u in terms of the eigenvalues of \mathbf{S} , doing so yields

$$u = \frac{p^p \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i}{(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i)^p}.$$

An improvement over $-n \ln u$ is given by

$$u' = - \left(\nu - \frac{2p^2 + p + 2}{6p} \right) \ln u,$$

where ν is the degrees of freedom for \mathbf{S} . The statistic u' has an approximate χ^2 -distribution with $\frac{1}{2}p(p+1) - 1$ degrees of freedom. We reject H_0 if $u' \geq \chi^2[\alpha, \frac{1}{2}p(p+1) - 1]$. To test $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathbf{C}' = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$, use $\mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathbf{C}'$ in place of \mathbf{S} and use $p-1$ in place of p , including in the degrees of freedom for χ^2

$$u = \frac{(p-1)^{(p-1)} |\mathbf{S}|}{(\text{tr}\mathbf{S})^{(p-1)}}$$

$$u' = - \left(\nu - \frac{2p^2 - 3p + 3}{6(p-1)} \right) \ln u,$$

Example. We use the probe word data in word.txt to illustrate tests of sphericity. The five variables appear to be commensurate, and the hypothesis $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$ may be of interest. We would expect the variables to be correlated, and H_0 would ordinarily be tested using a multivariate approach. However, if $\Sigma = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$ or $\mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathbf{C}' = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$, then the hypotheses $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$ can be tested with a univariate ANOVA F-test. We first test $H_0 : \Sigma = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$.

$$u = \frac{p^p |\mathbf{S}|}{(\text{tr}\mathbf{S})^p} = \frac{5^5 (27,236,586)}{(292.891)^5} = 0.0395$$

(with $n = 11$ and $p = 5$)

$$u' = - \left(\nu - \frac{2p^2 + p + 2}{6p} \right) \ln u = 26.177$$

The approximate χ^2 -test has $\frac{1}{2}p(p+1) - 1 = 14$ degrees of freedom. We therefore compare $u' = 26.177$ with $\chi_{0.05,14}^2 = 23.685$ and **reject** $H_0 : \Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$. To test $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathbf{C}' = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, we use the following matrix of orthonormalized contrasts:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 4/\sqrt{20} & -1/\sqrt{20} & -1/\sqrt{20} & -1/\sqrt{20} & -1/\sqrt{20} \\ 0 & 3/\sqrt{12} & -1/\sqrt{12} & -1/\sqrt{12} & -1/\sqrt{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{6} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We obtain

$$u = \frac{(p-1)(p-1)|\mathbf{S}|}{(\text{tr}\mathbf{S})(p-1)} = \frac{4^4(144039.8)}{(93.6)^4} = 0.480,$$

$$u' = 6.183.$$

For degrees of freedom, we now have $\frac{1}{2}(4)(5) - 1 = 9$, and the critical value is $\chi_{0.05,9}^2 = 16.919$. Hence, we **do not** reject $\mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathbf{C}' = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, and a univariate F-test of $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$ may be justified.

R code

```
word<-read.table(file="word.txt")
```

```
word
```

```
S<-cov(word)
```

```
S
```

```
n<-dim(word)[1]
```

```
n
```

```
p<-dim(word)[2]
```

```
p
```

```
## test statistic
```

```
denom<-( sum(diag(S/p)) )^p

LR<-(det(S)/denom)^(n/2)

u<-(LR)^(2/n)

u

##

nu<-n-1

## test statistic u'

# k = constant

k<-(-1)*(nu-1*(2*p^2+p+2)/(6*p))

u.star<-k*log(u)

u.star

## critical value

alpha<-0.05

## DF= degrees of freedom

DF<-(0.5)*p*(p+1)-1

crit.val<-qchisq(1-alpha,DF)

crit.val

### CSC'

## C = matrix of contrasts

v<-rev(contr.helmert(5))
```

```

C<-matrix(v,nrow=4,ncol=5,byrow=TRUE)

## normalizing C
C<-C/diag(sqrt(C%*%t(C)))

## test statistic u
u<-(p-1)^(p-1)*det(C%*%S%*%t(C))/( sum(diag(C%*%S%*%t(C))) )^(p-1)

u

## test statistic u'

## k = constant
k<- (-1)*(nu - ( 2*p^2-3*p+3 )/( 6*(p-1) ) )

u.star<-k*log(u)

u.star

## critical value
alpha<-0.05

## DF= degrees of freedom
DF<-(0.5)*(p-1)*(p)-1

crit.val<-qchisq(1-alpha,DF)

crit.val

```

Exercise 7.17. Test $H_0 : H_0 : \Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ and $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathbf{C}' = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ for the cork data.