

STA 260: Statistics and Probability II

Al Nosedal.
University of Toronto.

Winter 2017

- 1 Properties of Point Estimators and Methods of Estimation
 - Relative Efficiency
 - Consistency
 - Sufficiency
 - Minimum-Variance Unbiased Estimation
- 2 Method of Moments
- 3 Method of Maximum Likelihood

"If you can't explain it simply, you don't understand it well enough"

Albert Einstein.

Definition 9.1

Given two unbiased estimators $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ of a parameter θ , with variances $V(\hat{\theta}_1)$ and $V(\hat{\theta}_2)$, respectively, then the **efficiency** of $\hat{\theta}_1$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_2$, denoted $\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$, is defined to be the ratio

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{V(\hat{\theta}_2)}{V(\hat{\theta}_1)}$$

Exercise 9.1

In Exercise 8.8, we considered a random sample of size 3 from an exponential distribution with density function given by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} (1/\theta)e^{-y/\theta} & y > 0 \\ 0 & \textit{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

and determined that $\hat{\theta}_1 = Y_1$, $\hat{\theta}_2 = (Y_1 + Y_2)/2$, $\hat{\theta}_3 = (Y_1 + 2Y_2)/3$, and $\hat{\theta}_5 = \bar{Y}$ are all unbiased estimators for θ . Find the efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_5$, of $\hat{\theta}_2$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_5$, and of $\hat{\theta}_3$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_5$

Solution

$$V(\hat{\theta}_1) = V(Y_1) = \theta^2 \text{ (From Table).}$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_2) = V\left(\frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{2}\right) = \frac{2\theta^2}{4} = \frac{\theta^2}{2}$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_3) = V\left(\frac{Y_1 + 2Y_2}{3}\right) = \frac{5\theta^2}{9}$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_5) = V(\bar{Y}) = \frac{\theta^2}{3}$$

Solution

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_5) = \frac{V(\hat{\theta}_5)}{V(\hat{\theta}_1)} = \frac{\frac{\theta^2}{3}}{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_2, \hat{\theta}_5) = \frac{V(\hat{\theta}_5)}{V(\hat{\theta}_2)} = \frac{\frac{\theta^2}{3}}{\frac{\theta^2}{2}} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_3, \hat{\theta}_5) = \frac{V(\hat{\theta}_5)}{V(\hat{\theta}_3)} = \frac{\frac{\theta^2}{3}}{\frac{5\theta^2}{9}} = \frac{3}{5}$$

Exercise 9.3

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample from the uniform distribution on the interval $(\theta, \theta + 1)$. Let $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = Y_{(n)} - \frac{n}{n+1}$.

- Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are unbiased estimators of θ .
- Find the efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_2$.

Solution

$$\text{a. } E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2}) = E(\bar{Y}) - E(\frac{1}{2}) = E(\frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n}{n}) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2\theta + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \theta.$$

Since Y_i has a Uniform distribution on the interval $(\theta, \theta + 1)$,

$$V(Y_i) = \frac{1}{12} \text{ (check Table).}$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_1) = V(\bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2}) = V(\bar{Y}) = V(\frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n}{n}) = \frac{1}{12n}$$

Solution

Let $W = Y_{(n)} = \max\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$.

$$F_W(w) = P[W \leq w] = [F_Y(w)]^n$$

$$f_W(w) = \frac{d}{dw} F_W(w) = n[F_Y(w)]^{n-1} f_Y(w)$$

In our case, $f_W(w) = n[w - \theta]^{n-1}$, $\theta < w < \theta + 1$.

Now that we have the pdf of W , we can find its expected value and variance.

Solution

$$E(W) = \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} nw[w - \theta]^{n-1} dw \text{ (integrating by parts)}$$

$$E(W) = w[w - \theta]^n \Big|_{\theta}^{\theta+1} - \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} [w - \theta]^n dw$$

$$E(W) = (\theta + 1) - \frac{[w - \theta]^{n+1}}{n+1} \Big|_{\theta}^{\theta+1} = (\theta + 1) - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$E(W) = \theta + \frac{n}{n+1}$$

Solution

$$E(W^2) = \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} nw^2[w - \theta]^{n-1}dw \text{ (integrating by parts)}$$

$$E(W^2) = w^2[w - \theta]^n \Big|_{\theta}^{\theta+1} - \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} 2w[w - \theta]^n dw$$

$$E(W^2) = (\theta + 1)^2 - \frac{2}{n+1} \int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} (n+1)w[w - \theta]^n dw$$

$$E(W^2) = (\theta + 1)^2 - \frac{2}{n+1} \left(\theta + \frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)$$

$$E(W^2) = (\theta + 1)^2 - \frac{2\theta}{n+1} - \frac{2}{n+2}$$

Solution

$$V(W) = E(W^2) - [E(W)]^2$$

$$V(W) = \theta^2 + 2\theta + 1 - \frac{2\theta}{n+1} - \frac{2}{n+2} - \left[\theta + \frac{n}{n+1} \right]^2$$

(after doing a bit of algebra . . .)

$$V(W) = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

Solution

$$E(\hat{\theta}_2) = E\left(W - \frac{n}{n+1}\right) = E(W) - E\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) = \theta + \frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n}{n+1} = \theta.$$
$$V(\hat{\theta}_2) = V\left(W - \frac{n}{n+1}\right) = V(W) = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

Solution

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{V(\hat{\theta}_2)}{V(\hat{\theta}_1)} = \frac{\frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}}{\frac{1}{12n}} = \frac{12n^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

Definition 9.2

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is said to be **consistent estimator** of θ if, for any positive number ϵ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| \leq \epsilon) = 1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

Theorem 9.1

An unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ for θ is a consistent estimator of θ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0.$$

Exercise 9.15

Refer to Exercise 9.3. Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are consistent estimators for θ .

Solution

Recall that we have already shown that $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are unbiased estimator of θ . Thus, if we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\hat{\theta}_1) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\hat{\theta}_2) = 0$, we are done.

Clearly,

$$0 \leq V(\hat{\theta}_1) = \frac{1}{12n}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\hat{\theta}_1) = 0$$

Solution

Clearly,

$$0 \leq V(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} = \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \leq \frac{(n+2)}{(n+2)} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\hat{\theta}_2) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} = 0$$

Therefore, $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are consistent estimators for θ .

Definition 9.3

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample from a probability distribution with unknown parameter θ . Then the statistic $U = g(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$ is said to be **sufficient** for θ if the conditional distribution of Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n , given U , does not depend on θ .

Example

Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be a sample of size 3 from the Bernoulli distribution. Consider $U = g(X_1, X_2, X_3) = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$. We will show that $g(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ is sufficient.

Solution

	Values of U	$f_{X_1, X_2, X_3 U}$
(0,0,0)	0	1
(0,0,1)	1	1/3
(0,1,0)	1	1/3
(1,0,0)	1	1/3
(0,1,1)	2	1/3
(1,0,1)	2	1/3
(1,1,0)	2	1/3
(1,1,1)	3	1

Example

The conditional densities given in the last column are routinely calculated. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1, X_2, X_3|U=1}(0, 1, 0|1) &= P[X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0|U = 1] \\ &= \frac{P[X_1=0 \text{ and } X_2=1 \text{ and } X_3=0 \text{ and } U=1]}{P[U=1]} \\ &= \frac{(1-p)(p)(1-p)}{\binom{3}{1}p(1-p)^2} = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 9.4

Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be sample observations taken on corresponding random variables Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n whose distribution depends on a parameter θ . Then, if Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are discrete random variables, the **likelihood of the sample**, $L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta)$, is defined to be the joint probability of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . If Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are continuous random variables, the likelihood $L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta)$, is defined to be the joint density of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n .

Theorem 9.4

Let U be a statistic based on the random sample Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n . Then U is a **sufficient statistic** for the estimation of a parameter θ if and only if the likelihood $L(\theta) = L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta)$ can be factored into two nonnegative functions,

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta) = g(u, \theta)h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

where $g(u, \theta)$ is a function **only** of u and θ and $h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ is **not** a function of θ .

Exercise 9.37

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote n independent and identically distributed Bernoulli random variables such that

$$P(X_i = 1) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad P(X_i = 0) = 1 - \theta,$$

for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ by using the factorization criterion given in Theorem 9.4.

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) &= P(x_1 | \theta) P(x_2 | \theta) \dots P(x_n | \theta) \\ &= \theta^{x_1} (1 - \theta)^{1 - x_1} \theta^{x_2} (1 - \theta)^{1 - x_2} \dots \theta^{x_n} (1 - \theta)^{1 - x_n} \\ &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 9.4, $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is sufficient for θ with

$$g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \theta\right) = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

and

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

Indicator Function

For $a < b$,

$$I_{(a,b)}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a < y < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 9.49

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample from the Uniform distribution over the interval $(0, \theta)$. Show that $Y_{(n)} = \max(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$ is sufficient for θ .

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) &= f(y_1 | \theta) f(y_2 | \theta) \dots f(y_n | \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta} l_{(0, \theta)}(y_1) \frac{1}{\theta} l_{(0, \theta)}(y_2) \dots \frac{1}{\theta} l_{(0, \theta)}(y_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} l_{(0, \theta)}(y_1) l_{(0, \theta)}(y_2) \dots l_{(0, \theta)}(y_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} l_{(0, \theta)}(y_{(n)})\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 9.4 is satisfied with

$$g(y_{(n)}, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} l_{(0, \theta)}(y_{(n)})$$

and

$$h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = 1$$

.

Exercise 9.51

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample from the probability density function

$$f(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} e^{-(y-\theta)} & y \geq \theta \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Show that $Y_{(1)} = \min(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$ is sufficient for θ .

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) &= f(y_1 | \theta) f(y_2 | \theta) \dots f(y_n | \theta) \\&= e^{-(y_1 - \theta)} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_1) e^{-(y_2 - \theta)} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_2) \dots e^{-(y_n - \theta)} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_n) \\&= e^{n\theta} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_1) I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_2) \dots I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_n) \\&= e^{n\theta} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_{(1)}) \\&= e^{n\theta} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_{(1)}) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}\end{aligned}$$

Solution

Therefore, Theorem 9.4 is satisfied with

$$g(y_{(1)}, \theta) = e^{n\theta} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(y_{(1)})$$

and

$$h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

and $Y_{(1)}$ is sufficient for θ .

Theorem 9.5

The Rao-Blackwell Theorem. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an unbiased estimator for θ such that $V(\hat{\theta}) < \infty$. If U is a sufficient statistic for θ , define $\hat{\theta}^* = E(\hat{\theta}|U)$. Then, for all θ ,

$$E(\hat{\theta}^*) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad V(\hat{\theta}^*) \leq V(\hat{\theta}).$$

Proof

Check page 465.

(It is almost identical to what we did in class, Remember?)

Exercise 9.61

Refer to Exercise 9.49. Use $Y_{(n)}$ to find an MVUE of θ .

Exercise 9.62

Refer to Exercise 9.51. Find a function of $Y_{(1)}$ that is an MVUE for θ .

Solution

Please, see review 2.

The Method of Moments

The method of moments is a very simple procedure for finding an estimator for one or more population parameters. Recall that the k th moment of random variable, taken about the origin, is

$$\mu'_k = E(Y^k).$$

The corresponding k th sample moment is the average

$$m'_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^k.$$

The Method of Moments

Choose as estimates those values of the parameters that are solutions of the equations μ'_k , for $k = 1, 2, \dots, t$, where t is the number of parameters to be estimated.

Exercise 9.69

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample from the probability density function

$$f(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} (\theta + 1)y^\theta & 0 < y < 1; \theta > -1 \\ 0 & \textit{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Find an estimator for θ by the method of moments.

Solution

Note that Y is a random variable with a Beta distribution where $\alpha = \theta + 1$ and $\beta = 1$. Therefore,

$$\mu'_1 = E(Y) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{\theta + 1}{\theta + 2}$$

(we can find this formula on our Table).

Solution

The corresponding first sample moment is

$$m'_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = \bar{Y}.$$

Solution

Equating the corresponding population and sample moment, we obtain

$$\frac{\theta + 1}{\theta + 2} = \bar{Y}$$

(solving for θ)

$$\hat{\theta}_{MOM} = \frac{2\bar{Y} - 1}{1 - \bar{Y}} = \frac{1 - 2\bar{Y}}{\bar{Y} - 1}$$

Exercise 9.75

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be a random sample from the probability density function given by

$$f(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2\theta)}{[\Gamma(\theta)]^2} y^{\theta-1} (1-y)^{\theta-1} & 0 < y < 1; \theta > -1 \\ 0 & \textit{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Find the method of moments estimator for θ .

Solution

Note that Y is a random variable with a Beta distribution where $\alpha = \theta$ and $\beta = \theta$. Therefore,

$$\mu'_1 = E(Y) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{\theta}{2\theta} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Solution

The corresponding first sample moment is

$$m'_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = \bar{Y}.$$

Solution

Equating the corresponding population and sample moment, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} = \bar{Y}$$

(since we **can't** solve for θ , we have to repeat the process using second moments).

Solution

Recalling that $V(Y) = E(Y^2) - [E(Y)]^2$ and solving for $E(Y^2)$, we have that

(we can easily get $V(Y)$ from our table, Right?)

$$\mu_2' = E(Y^2) = \frac{\theta^2}{(2\theta)^2(2\theta + 1)} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4(2\theta + 1)} + \frac{1}{4}$$

(after a little bit of algebra...)

$$E(Y^2) = \frac{\theta + 1}{4\theta + 2}.$$

Solution

The corresponding second sample moment is

$$m'_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2.$$

Solution

Solving for θ

$$\hat{\theta}_{MOM} = \frac{1 - 2m'_2}{4m'_2 - 1}$$

Method of Maximum Likelihood

Suppose that the likelihood function depends on k parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$. Choose as estimates those values of the parameters that maximize the likelihood $L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$.

Problem

Given a random sample Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n from a population with pdf $f(x|\theta)$, show that maximizing the likelihood function, $L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta)$, as a function of θ is equivalent to maximizing $\ln L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta)$.

Proof

Let $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$, which implies that

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) \leq L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \hat{\theta}_{MLE}) \text{ for all } \theta.$$

We know that $g(\theta) = \ln(\theta)$ is monotonically increasing function of θ , thus for $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$ we have that $\ln(\theta_1) \leq \ln(\theta_2)$.

Therefore

$$\ln L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) \leq \ln L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \hat{\theta}_{MLE}) \text{ for all } \theta.$$

We have shown that $\ln L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta)$ attains its maximum at $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$.

Example 9.16

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be a random sample of observations from a uniform distribution with probability density function $f(y_i|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$, for $0 \leq y_i \leq \theta$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Find the MLE of θ .

MLEs have some additional properties that make this method of estimation particularly attractive. Generally, if θ is the parameter associated with a distribution, we are sometimes interested in estimating some function of θ - say $t(\theta)$ - rather than θ itself. In exercise, 9.94, you will prove that if $t(\theta)$ is a one-to-one function of θ and if $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE for θ , then the MLE of $t(\theta)$ is given by

$$t(\hat{\theta}) = t(\hat{\theta}).$$

This result, sometimes referred to as the **invariance property** of MLEs, also holds for any function of a parameter of interest (**not just one-to-one functions**).

Exercise 9.81

Suppose that Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample from an exponentially distributed population with mean θ . Find the MLE of the population variance θ^2 .

Exercise 9.85

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be a random sample from the probability density function given by

$$f(y|\alpha, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y/\theta} & y > 0, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is known.

Exercise 9.85

- Find the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ of θ .
- Find the expected value and variance of $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$.
- Show that $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is consistent for θ .
- What is the best sufficient statistic for θ in this problem?

Solution a)

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{[\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha]^n} \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i/\theta}$$

Solution a)

$$\ln L(\theta) = (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(y_i) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\theta} - n \ln \Gamma(\alpha) - n\alpha \ln(\theta)$$

Solution a)

$$\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n\alpha\theta}{\theta^2}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{\bar{y}}{\alpha}$$

Solution a)

(Let us check that we actually have a maximum...)

$$\frac{d^2 \ln L(\theta)}{d\theta^2} = \frac{-2 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + n\alpha\theta}{\theta^3}$$

$$\frac{d^2 \ln L(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})}{d\theta^2} = \frac{-\alpha^3 n}{\bar{y}^2} < 0$$

Solution b)

$$E(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = E\left(\frac{\bar{y}}{\alpha}\right) = \theta.$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = V\left(\frac{\bar{y}}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha n}.$$

Solution c)

Since $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased, we only need to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha n} = 0.$$

Solution d)

By the Factorization Theorem,

$$g(u, \theta) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \theta\right) = \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i/\theta}}{\theta^{\alpha n}}$$

and

$$h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha-1}}{[\Gamma(\alpha)]^n}$$

Example 9.15

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be a random sample from a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Find the MLEs of μ and σ^2 .